# **Towards Non-Parametric Bayesian Learning of Robot Behaviors** from Demonstration



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



### Problem Statement

Real-world robotic applications typically require basic actions:

- Grasping an object, docking to a recharge station, etc.
- Typically uses FSM: slow to program, to debug, to tune.

We want to specify these actions by demonstrating them:

- Trajectory following replay (ex. GMR) are typically reactive.
- Sequence segmentation demands a definition of step changes.
- Both approches require many parameters/design choices.

## Experiments 25 cm

## Proposed Model

Stéphane Magnenat, Cédric Pradalier, and Francis Colas

- Execution based on tracking in the training data.
- Observations and commands are conditioned by trajectory and time indices.
- Updates this latent space with observations and transition models.
- Generates commands by averaging recorded motor data.

### Variables

- $\Pi = \{\zeta_t^i, \upsilon_t^i | \forall i \in (1, N), \forall t \in (1, L_i) \}$ Records of N trajectories; trajectory *i* at record time step *t* has sensor data  $\zeta_t^i$  and actuator command  $\upsilon_t^i$ .
- Index of trajectory at replay time t, ranges from 1 to N.
- Position on trajectory at replay time t, ranges from 1 to  $\max_i L_i$ .  $\Gamma_t$
- $\Box$  U<sub>t</sub> Actuator command at replay time t, vector value.





recorded trajectories (black dots),  $3 \times 5$  different tested positions (blue) **2**0  $\bullet$   $\theta_{\tau}$ =0.05, 7 different tested values for  $\theta_{I}$ 



 $\blacksquare$  Z<sub>t</sub> Observation (sensor data) at replay time t,  $n_s$ -dim vector value.

### Distributions

20 cm



# $p(U_{1:t}, Z_{1:t}, I_{1:t}, \tau_{1:t}) =$ $p(U_{1:t-1}, Z_{1:t-1}, I_{1:t-1}, \tau_{1:t-1}) p(U_t | I_t, \tau_t) p(Z_t | I_t, \tau_t) p(I_t | I_{t-1}) p(\tau_t | \tau_{t-1})$

### Parameters

The model has only *number of sensors* + 2 meta parameters:  $\bullet$   $\theta_I$ : how much trajectories can change,  $\bullet$   $\theta_{\tau}$ : how much time can get stretched,

•  $\sigma_{\zeta^k}$ : for each sensor, indicates when two values are different.

# **Observation model**

# For $\theta_I$ comprised between 1e-0.5 and 1e-2, the success rate is high at 90%.

- A large  $\theta_I$  is better because training runs differ mostly at the beginning.
- In case of success, the alignment error is small and the duration constant.
- Most of the failures (60%) are linked to the controller stopping the robot indefinitely, due to a fixed or cyclic distribution on  $I_t, \tau_t$ .
- With only 6 recorded trajectories, performances degrade gracefully: there are more failures but on successful runs mean error and duration are similar.

# Example of Model Execution





### Transition model

$$p(\tau_t | \tau_{t-1}) = \begin{cases} \theta_{\tau} & \text{if } \tau_t = \tau_{t-1} \\ 1 - 2\theta_{\tau} & \text{if } \tau_t = \tau_{t-1} + 1 \\ \theta_{\tau} & \text{if } \tau_t = \tau_{t-1} + 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad p(I_t | I_{t-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 - \theta_I & \text{if } I_t = I_{t-1} \\ \frac{\theta_I}{N-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

alternatively, a Log-normal distribution

### Initial conditions and termination criterion

$$p(I_0 = i, \tau_0 = j) = \begin{cases} 1/N \text{ if } j = 0\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The task is considered completed if  $p(\tau_t \text{ in last } 10 \text{ time steps}) > 0.9$ .

### Questions

Update due to time, involving the prediction model:  $p(I_t, \tau_t | Z_{1:t-1}) = \sum p(I_t, \tau_t | I_{t-1}, \tau_{t-1}) p(I_{t-1}, \tau_{t-1} | Z_{1:t-1})$ 

# Current and Future Work

- Test on search-and-rescue robot, PR2
- Observation model (Cauchy) and transition model (Log-normal) Sensor weighting (feature selection)
- Abstraction (similar subsequences, loops, branching)

## Conclusion

Real-time on laptop in Python/Cython, complexity:  $O(L \times N)$ Successful application to cube grasping Strong potential for other types of robots

- $I_{t-1}, \tau_{t-1}$  $= \sum_{I_{t-1}} p(I_t|I_{t-1}) p(I_{t-1}|Z_{1:t-1}) \sum_{\tau_{t-1}} p(\tau_t|\tau_{t-1}) p(\tau_{t-1}|I_{t-1}, Z_{1:t-1})$
- Generation of a command, involving a decision function:

$$p(U_t|Z_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{I_t,\tau_t} p(U_t|I_t,\tau_t) p(I_t,\tau_t|Z_{1:t-1})$$
$$D(p(U_t|Z_{1:t-1})) = \sum_{I_t,\tau_t} D(p(U_t|I_t,\tau_t)) p(I_t,\tau_t|Z_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{I_t,\tau_t} \psi_{\tau_t}^{I_t} p(I_t,\tau_t|Z_{1:t-1})$$

Update due to observations, involving the observation model:

 $p(I_t, \tau_t | Z_{1:t}) \propto p(Z_t | I_t, \tau_t) p(I_t, \tau_t | Z_{1:t-1})$ 

Acknowledgements: European projects: Noptilus (FP7-270180), myCopter (FP7-266470), NIFTi (FP7-247870); Willow Garage